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Abstract

The force between permanent magnets implemented in many engineering devices remains an intriguing problem in basic physics. The variation of magnetic force with the distance \(x\) between a pair of magnets cannot usually be approximated as \(x^{-4}\) because of the dipole nature and geometry of magnets. In this work, the force between two identical cylindrical magnets is accurately described by a closed-form solution. The analytical model assumes that the magnets are uniformly magnetized along their length. The calculation, based on the magnetic field exerted by one magnet on the other along the direction of their orientation, shows a reduction in the magnetic force with the distance \(x\) and a dependence on the size parameters of magnets. To verify the equation, the experiment was set up by placing two cylindrical neodymium iron boron type magnets in a vertical tube. The repulsive force between the identical upper and lower magnets of 2.5 cm in diameter and 7.5 cm in length was measured from the weight on the top of the upper magnet. The resulting separation between the magnets was recorded as \(x\). The forces measured at \(x = 0.004 - 0.037\) m differ from the values calculated using the analytic solution by \(-0.55\%\) to \(-13.60\%\). The calculation also gives rise to a practical remnant magnetic field of 1.206 T. When \(x\) is much larger than the length of magnets, the equation of force is approximated as a simple form proportional to \(1/x^4\). The finding can be directly used in magnetic levitation as well as applied in calculating magnetic fields and forces in other systems incorporating permanent magnets.
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1. Introduction

Magnetic fields from permanent magnets are essential for the operation of electrical generators and motors. The electromotive force in generators and the angular velocity in motors are increased with changing magnetic fluxes. Furthermore, the optimized implementation of magnets is key to novel wind turbines, flywheels, electric cars, and magnetic refrigerators which are energy-efficient and eco-friendly. Since the early experiments on permanent magnets [1], the magnetic force has been a topic of fundamental interest. Despite its currently ubiquitous and advanced applications, equations describing the force exerted from cuboidal and cylindrical magnets remain under active investigations [2–8]. The calculations have also been extended to magnets in arrays and devices [9, 10]. In addition to analytic solutions, magnetic field distributions have increasingly been simulated by the finite element method for permanent magnets of a variety of geometries such as cuboid [11] ring [12] and disk [13].

Unlike electric forces between electric charges, the magnetic forces between bars of magnets cannot be written in a simple expression because they are forces between dipoles. If the magnets are approximated as the point-like magnetic dipole moments of \(m_1\) and \(m_2\), the force \(F_1\) between the magnets with a large separation distance \(x\) is given as:

\[
F_1 = \frac{3\mu_0 m_1 m_2}{2\pi x^4},
\]
where $\mu_0 = 4\pi \cdot 10^{-7} \text{T}\cdot\text{m}/\text{A}$. However, the magnets in real experiments and devices are quite far from point-like objects so that the attempts to fit the experimental result with equation (1) are usually failed. The force is increasingly sensitive to geometries of the magnets when $x$ is small in comparison to the magnet size.

In this work, let’s focus on magnetic forces between two cylindrical magnet bars. A closed-form of magnetic forces is investigated as a function of the distance $x$ and compared to experimental results. In addition to fundamental physics, the presented equation can be directly implemented in the magnetic levitation. The calculation which is more accurate than the approximation in (1) is also useful in designing other engineering devices and systems.

2. Materials and methods

The experiment was set up by placing two magnetic cylinders in a vertical tube. These identical rare-earth magnets are neodymium iron boron type of 2.5 cm in diameter and 7.5 cm in length. The arrangement of magnets, magnetization directions, and the separation distance between magnets is schematically shown in Fig. 1. The bottom of the lower magnet is located on $z = 0$. The magnetization of the lower magnet is $M_1$ with a direction pointing upward while the magnetization of the upper magnet is $M_2$ with a downward direction. The $M_1$ and $M_2$ are equal in magnitude. The separation distance between two magnets is measured from the top pole-face of the lower magnet and the bottom pole-face of the upper magnet. The force exerted between the upper and lower magnets was varied by changing the weight on the top of the upper magnet and the resulting separation between the magnets was recorded as $x$. The smallest $x$ of 0.004 m was obtained by adding the weight of the water container to 20 kg and the largest $x$ was 0.037 m. The repulsive force was then plotted against the distance $x$. The nonlinear least square fitting method in [14] was applied on Mathematica to compare the forces from the equations to the experimental results. The percentage difference was determined from the difference between two values divided by the average of the two values and then converted into percentage. The forces when $x > 0.037$ m and $x < 0.004$ m including the contact force ($x = 0$ m) can be predicted.

![Fig. 1. Schematic diagram of two cylindrical magnets (the radius, $r = 0.0125$ m and the length, $t = 0.075$ m) in a vertical alignment. The $z$-axis passes through the center line of both magnets](image)

3. Results

The magnetic force measured from the experiment set-up exhibits the decrease with increasing $x$ from 0.004 to 0.037 m. In Fig. 2, the experimental result can be fitted with elliptic functions of repulsive force $F_2$ in (2). By defining the variables as:
\[ \tau = \frac{t}{2r}, \quad z = \frac{x+t}{r}, \quad k = \frac{4}{\sqrt{4+\omega^2}}, \quad I_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+4\tau^2}}, \quad M_1 = -M_2 = M = \frac{B_0}{\mu_0}, \]

\[ A_{11}^0(\omega) = \frac{\omega}{\pi k} E(k^2) - \frac{\omega}{k} K(k^2) + \frac{3}{4}, \]

\[ F_2(x) = \frac{4B_0^2r^2}{\mu_0} \left[ \frac{1}{T_1} \left\{ E\left(l_1^2\right) - K\left(l_1^2\right) \right\} - \frac{1}{T_2} \left\{ E\left(l_2^2\right) - K\left(l_2^2\right) \right\} \right], \quad x = 0, \]

\[ \frac{\pi r^2 B_0^2}{2\mu_0} \left[ A_{11}^0(z-2\tau) - A_{11}^0(x(z+2\tau)) \right], \quad x > 0, \]

where \( K \) and \( E \) are complete elliptic integrals of the first and the second kind respectively. By using the remnant magnetic field \((B_0)\) as a free parameter, the \( F_2 \) can be fitted with the experimental result with \( B_0 = 1.357 \) T, and the contact force (in the case \( x = 0 \)) is predicted as 351.5 N. However, the \( B_0 \) is higher than the remnant magnetic field of common neodymium iron boron magnets.

**Fig. 2.** Graph of fitting data points from the magnetic force measurement with \( F_2 \) from (2)

In [3], Camacho and Sosa suggested an alternative method to predict the magnetic force between two cylindrical magnet bars. However, the closed-form solution of magnetic force has not been proposed in [3]. By following the method in [3], the equation describing repulsive force \( F_3 \) between two identical cylindrical magnets as a function of their separation can be written as:

\[ F_3(x) = \frac{\pi r^2 B_0^2}{2\mu_0} \left[ \frac{2(x+t)}{\sqrt{(x+t)^2 + r^2}} - \frac{x+2t}{\sqrt{(x+2t)^2 + r^2}} - \frac{x}{\sqrt{x^2 + r^2}} \right], \]

where all variables are defined as the same as in (2).

The (3) is derived from the magnetic field on the \( z \)-axis of the lower magnet which according to [3], can be obtained as:

\[ B(z) = \frac{\mu_0 M}{2} \left[ \frac{z}{\sqrt{z^2 + r^2}} - \frac{z-t}{\sqrt{(z-t)^2 + r^2}} \right], \]

The repulsive force between magnets has been defined as the volume integration on the upper magnet which can be obtained as:

\[ F_3 = \int M_2 \frac{\partial B(z)}{\partial z} \, dV, \]
\[ dF_3 = M_2 \frac{\partial B(z)}{\partial z} dV = -M \frac{\partial B(z)}{\partial z} \pi r^2 dz, \]

\[ \beta(z) = \frac{z}{\sqrt{z^2 + r^2}} - \frac{z - t}{\sqrt{(z - t)^2 + r^2}}, \quad dF_3 = -\frac{\pi r^2 B_0^2}{2\mu_0} d\beta(z). \]

From (7), the \( F_3 \) can be obtained as:

\[ F_3 = -\frac{\pi r^2 B_0^2}{2\mu_0} \int_{z=x-t}^{z=x+2t} d\beta(z), \]

\[ F_3 = -\frac{\pi r^2 B_0^2}{2\mu_0} \left[ \frac{z}{\sqrt{z^2 + r^2}} - \frac{z - t}{\sqrt{(z - t)^2 + r^2}} \right]_{z=x-t}^{z=x+2t}. \]

After the substitution of the upper and lower limits in (9), the expression as shown in (3) is obtained. Fig. 3 shows a same variation of the repulsive forces measured from the experiment and predicted by (3). In this graph fitting, the \( B_0 = 1.206 \) T, and the contact force is equal to 277.2 N. The practical \( B_0 \) value of less than 1.3 T indicates the validity of the model.
The percentage of difference between the experimental forces and values from equations are shown in Fig. 4. When $x$ is less than 0.009 m, the values from both equations are comparable and differ from the experimental values by only $-0.55\%$ to $-2.68\%$. For (2), the difference is $6.61\%$ in the case of $x = 0.018$ m and substantially rises with further increase in $x$. By contrast, the values obtained from (3) are lower than the experimental results with $x = 0.011$–0.037 m and the difference ranges from $-1.92\%$ to $-13.60\%$.

### 4. Discussion of experimental results

The agreement between the closed-form solution in (3) and the experimental measurement shown in Fig. 3 and their percentage difference in Fig. 4 suggest that the magnetic force between two cylindrical magnets can be calculated by an analytical treatment assuming uniform magnetizations along their length. For a small range of distance from 0.004 to 0.037 m, a large magnitude of force was verified in this experiment.

The force in (3) can then be implemented with a better accuracy than a simple form in (1) to design structures and systems with small distances between permanent magnets. In the case of large distances, (3) is reduced to (1). When $t > r$ and $x \gg t$,

$$F_j(x) = \frac{\pi r^4 B_0^2}{4\mu_0 x^2} \left\{ \left[ 2 - 4 \left( \frac{t}{x} \right) + 6 \left( \frac{t}{x} \right)^2 \right] - \left\{ 1 - 2 \left( \frac{2t}{x} \right) + 3 \left( \frac{2t}{x} \right)^2 \right\} - 1 \right\},$$

$$F_3(x) = \frac{3\pi r^4 t^2 B_0^2}{2\mu_0 x^4} = \frac{3\mu_0 \left( \frac{B_0}{\mu_0} \right)^2 \left( \pi r^2 \right)^2}{2\pi x^4} = -\frac{3\mu_0 \left( MV \right)^2}{2\pi x^4},$$

where $m_1 = -m_2 = MV$, $V$ is volume of the upper magnet. In this regime, the expression in (3) exhibits a smaller variation from the experimental force than those obtained from (2).

The limitation of this study is that only one geometry of one type of permanent magnet was experimentally tested. Either change of composition or type (e.g., ferrite, samarium cobalt) of the magnets should affect the magnitude of the force measured and remnant field in the fitting but unlikely modify the shape of the curve. The different shapes of magnets were previously compared in [8]. It is demonstrated that the magnetic dipole-dipole model is accurate in the case of spherical magnets. However, the force between pairs of cuboid or cylindrical magnets are better described by magnetic charge and magnetizing current models.

The experimental results can directly be implemented in the levitation by permanent magnets. The weights up to 20 kg were lifted in the using small cylindrical neodymium iron boron magnets. Further calculations of magnetic field associated with such strong forces can be used to design new electrical generators, motors, flywheels, and magnetic refrigerators.

### 5. Conclusions

The force between two cylindrical neodymium iron boron magnets is measured as a function of distance and described by a closed-form solution. In the 0.004–0.037 m regime, this presented analytic solution and the experimental magnetic force exhibit the same trend with the percentage difference ranging from $-0.55\%$ to $-13.60\%$. The contact force can also be estimated. By using the remnant magnetic field ($B_0$) as a free parameter, the $B_0 = 1.206$ T from the fitting is comparable to a practical value supplied by neodymium iron boron type magnets. If the separation distance $x$ between magnets is far enough, the force between magnets in this equation is approximately proportional to $x^{-4}$. The equations and findings are useful for optimizing the configuration of magnets in engineering devices.
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